



## Informality: A Labor Economics Perspective

Gary Fields
Cornell University and IZA

March, 2011





### I. Who is "informal"?

















A: We would probably all call them "informal." But what does that mean?





#### From Lewis Carroll's Through the Looking Glass

"When I use a word," Humpty Dumpty said in rather a scornful tone, "it means just what I choose it to mean – neither more nor less."

"The question is," said Alice, "whether you can make words mean so many different things."

"The question is," said Humpty Dumpty, "which is to be master . . .that's all."





It's time to stop being Alice-in-Wonderland-ish.

Regarding the various definitions:

"Informality" cannot simultaneously

a) mean any one of these either "informal sector" or "informal economy," or "covered by social security" or something else -

and

b) mean all of these.





### From a labor economics perspective, what our field needs:

- 1. If we are going to use the terms "informality," "informal employment," and "informal sector," we need consistent definitions of these terms.
  - 2. If we are going to use these terms, we need empirical implementations that match the definitions, as for instance we have with "unemployment."
- 3. Otherwise, let's use terms like "protected" and "unprotected," "registered" and "unregistered," "wage employment" and "self-employment," and so on.





### II. What elements do Kalavati and Angela's stories share?

They are all doing what they are doing "involuntarily."

Why "involuntarily"? Because there are not enough jobs with protections for all who want them and who are capable of performing them.

This is the essence of how most labor economists working on developing economies think about working without protections.





# III. What labor economists know is <u>not</u> so (using the word "informal" because that's what the authors use):

- 1. Informal work is bad/pathological/ ...
- 2. Informal work is to be discouraged/done away with/...

#### Why?

1. Because informal work is a vital source of livelihoods, especially for the poor.

(NCEUS: 93% in India)

2. To work informally is preferred to not working at all.





# IV. What labor economists are developing: Analysis of the fundamental duality *within* the so-called informal sector relative to the so-called formal sector.

- 1. There are those who would rather be working in jobs with protections but <u>cannot</u>.
  - 2. There also are those who could be working in wage employment and/or in jobs with protections but who choose not to.

















- V. What we do not know, and would like to know, is:
- a) How many of those working "informally" are doing so by choice?

#### versus

How many of those working "informally" have no choice?





- b) How do informal sector and formal sector earnings compare?
- Informal workers earn less than formal workers on average but . . .
  - Informal workers do not earn uniformly less.
- Much remains to be learned about both unobservables and unobservables.





- c) What is the best way to model all this in different countries?
  - Parsimonious
    - Realistic
  - Policy-relevant





A substantial research agenda lies ahead.